

KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

June 18, 2002

Ordinance 14390

Proposed No. 2002-0131.1

Sponsors Hague

1	AN ORDINANCE adopting amendments to the
2	Countywide Planning Policies amending the urban growth
3	area of King County to reflect site specific land use map
4	amendments adopted during the 2000 comprehensive plan
5	update; ratifying the amended Countywide Planning
6	Policies for unincorporated King County; and amending
7	Ordinance 10450, Section 3, as amended, and K.C.C.
8	20.10.030 and Ordinance 10450, Section 4, as amended,
9	and K.C.C. 20.10.040.
10	
11	
12	BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
13	SECTION 1. Findings. The council makes the following findings.
14	A. The metropolitan King County council adopted and ratified the Growth
15	Management Planning Council recommended King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
16	Policies (Phase I) in July 1992, under Ordinance 10450.

17	B. The metropolitan King County council adopted and ratified the Phase II		
18	amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies on August 15, 1994, under Ordinance		
19	11446.		
20 .	C. The Growth Management Planning Council met on September 27, 2000, and		
21	voted to recommend amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning		
22	Policies [5/25/94], amending the urban growth area boundary to reflect site specific land		
23	use map amendments initiated by King County during the 2000 King County		
24	comprehensive plan update.		
25	SECTION 2. Ordinance 10450, Section 3, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.10.030 are		
26	each hereby amended to read as follows:		
27	Phase II. A. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 Countywide		
28	Planning Policies attached to Ordinance 11446 are hereby approved and adopted.		
29	B. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning		
30	Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12027.		
31	C. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning		
32	Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12421.		
33	D. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning		
34	Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 and 2 to Ordinance 13260.		
35	E. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning		
36	Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 through 4 to Ordinance 13415.		
37	F. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning		
38	Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments 1 through 3 to Ordinance 13858.		

39	G. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning		
40	Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to this ordinance.		
41	SECTION 3. Ordinance 10450, Section 4, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.10.040 are		
42	each hereby amended to read as follows:		
43	Ratification for unincorporated King County. A. Countywide Planning		
44	Policies adopted by Ordinance 10450 for the purposes specified are hereby ratified on		
45	behalf of the population of unincorporated King County.		
46	B. The amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies adopted by Ordinance		
47	10840 are hereby ratified on behalf of the population of unincorporated King County.		
48	C. The amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies adopted by Ordinance		
49	11061 are hereby ratified on behalf of the population of unincorporated King County.		
50	D. The Phase II amendments to the King County 2012 Countywide Planning		
51	Policies adopted by Ordinance 11446 are hereby ratified on behalf of the population of		
52	unincorporated King County.		
53	E. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as		
54	shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12027 are hereby ratified on behalf of the		
55	population of unincorporated King County.		
56	F. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as		
57	shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12421, are hereby ratified on behalf of the		
58	population of unincorporated King County.		
59	G. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as		
60	shown by Attachments 1 and 2 to Ordinance 13260, are hereby ratified on behalf of the		
61	population of unincorporated King County.		

62	H. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
63	shown by Attachment 1 through 4 to Ordinance 13415, are hereby ratified on behalf of
64	the population of unincorporated King County.
65	I. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
66	shown by Attachments 1 through 3 to Ordinance 13858, are hereby ratified on behalf of
67	the population of unincorporated King County.
68	J. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as

Ordinance 14390 was introduced on 3/18/2002 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 6/17/2002, by the following vote:

Yes: 11 - Ms. Sullivan, Ms. Edmonds, Mr. von Reichbauer, Ms. Lambert, Mr. McKenna, Mr. Constantine, Mr. Pullen, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Hague, Mr. Irons and Ms. Patterson

No: 0

Excused: 2 - Mr. Phillips and Mr. Pelz

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Cynthia Sullivan, Chair

ATTEST:

Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this a day of June, 2002.

Ron Sims, County Executive

Attachments

1. GMPC Substitute Motion No. 003

2002 JUN 28 AM II: 04

Attachment (2002 131

09/27/00

Sponsored By:

Executive Committee

/bc

Substitute MOTION NO. 00-3

A MOTION to amend the Urban Growth Area of King County.

WHEREAS, the Washington State Growth Management Act, RCW 36.70A.110 requires counties to designate an urban growth area or areas within which urban growth shall be encouraged and outside of which growth can occur only if it is not urban in nature; and

WHEREAS, Countywide Planning Policy FW-1 Step 8 recognizes that King County may initiate amendments to the Urban Growth Area; and

WHEREAS, the King County Executive and the Metropolitan King County Council requests the Growth Management Planning Council consider the attached amendments to the Urban Growth Area for eventual adoption by the Metropolitan King County Council and ratification by the cities; and

WHEREAS, Countywide Planning Policies LU-31 and LU-32 anticipate the collaborative designation of Potential Annexation Areas and the eventual annexation of these areas by cities. The attached amendments are supported by the affected city.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:

1. Amend the Urban Growth Area as designated by the Urban Growth Areas Map in the Countywide Planning Policies as described by the following attachments:

Attachment A: Issaquah Highlands/Issaquah (KCCP 2000 Map Amendment 1)

Attachment B: Maple Valley Library/Maple Valley UGA (KCCP 2000 Map

Amendment 3)

Attachment C: Jenkins Creek Park/Covington UGA (KCCP 2000 Map Amendment 4)

Attachment D: Mahler Park/Enumclaw UGA (KCCP 2000 Amendment 6)

Attachment E: Split Parcels/Enumclaw UGA (KCCP 2000 Map Amendment 7)

Attachment F: Carnation UGA (KCCP 2000 Map Amendment 8)

Attachment G: Maple Valley (KCCP 2000 Map Amendment 11)

1 -

14390

1 2

3

4 5

17

11 12

22 23 24

25

26 27 28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

- 2. Amend the Interim Potential Annexation Area Map by including any additional unincorporated urban land created by these UGA amendments in the Potential Annexation Area of the adjoining city.
- 3. Per the Countywide Planning Policy FW-1, Attachment A: Issaquah Highlands/Issaquah (KCCP 2000 Map Amendment 1) is not subject to ratification. Attachments B, C, D, E, F and G are recommended to the Metropolitan King County Council and the Cities of King County for adoption and ratification.

ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County the

and signed by the chair of the GMPC in open session in authentication of its adoption this 28th Leptenber 2000.

Ron Sims, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

Attachments: September 19, 2000 Background Report describing each proposed UGA change and its rationale

Map of each recommended UGA Change

Proposed UGA Changes Under Review by King County

Background:

As part of the first major update to the King County Comprehensive Plan (KCCP), a number of proposed UGA changes are under review by King County. In the Executive Recommended KCCP 2000 Plan (March 1, 2000), there are 7 recommended changes proposed. Of these, five are requests made by the cities of Carnation, Covington, Enumclaw and Maple Valley. The other two include UGA adjustments to recognize the Grand Ridge Joint Agreement (Issaquah Highland) and to reconcile two properties that have been split by the UGA just outside of the City of Enumclaw. The proposed UGA amendments are noted on the attached Locator Map.

The proposed amendments are currently under review by the King County Growth Management and Unincorporated Areas Committee. The amendments are subject to change and may be amended by the Committee and by the full Council in September 2000. The purpose of presenting the proposed UGA changes to the GMPC is to highlight those that will require further action by the GMPC to amend the Countywide Urban Growth Area boundary.

Below is a matrix that describes the property, the acreage affected and the rationale and policy basis for the proposed UGA change. Amendment # 1, Issaquah Highlands/Issaquah is consistent with FW-1 and does not require ratification by GMPC as stated in the policy. The remaining amendments are being presented to the GMPC for consideration and approval as amendments to the Countywide Urban Growth Boundary. The rationale statements includes an analysis of the proposed UGA amendments with the Countywide Planning Policies.

	APPROXIMATE	
MAP LOCATOR	APPROXIMATE	RATIONALE
NUMBER/PROPERTY	REDESIGNATED	
	TO URBAN	
#1 Issaquah Highlands/	40	The
	40	The parcel is a rural island surrounded by the
Issaquah		UGA. Approximately 33 acres of the parcel are
		identified in the Grand Ridge Joint Agreement
		as an expansion area for the Issaquah Highlands development. The remaining 7 acres will be
		protected as an urban separator. The proposed
		amendment complies with CPP policy FW-1
		step 8(b) which recognized the Issaquah Joint
		Planning Agreement process. This is an
		information item only and no further action is
		needed by GMPC on this amendment per CPP
į į		FW-1.
#3 Maple Valley	0.5	This is a technical adjustment to reconcile the
Library/ Maple Valley		UGA line with the corporate city boundary.
UGA		The entire property has been annexed by the
·		City of Maple Valley, although a portion of the
		property falls within the designated Rural Area.
		property and within the designated Kulai Alea.

1:\gmpc\motions\motion00-3-attachment1.doc

Page

<u></u>	APPROXIMATE	
MAP LOCATOR	ACRES	RATIONALE
NUMBER/PROPERTY	REDESIGNATED	
	TO URBAN	
#4 Jenkins Creek Park/ Covington UGA	65	The City of Covington has requested amending the UGA in order to provide urban services to Jenkins Creek park (20.34 acres) after it is transferred to the city. The proposed UGA change includes redesignating the properties directly north (6.48 acres) and south (38.18 acres) of the park land as Urban. Redesignation of these properties to Urban would eliminate the creation of Rural islands after the transfer of the park.
-		The City has also expressed an interest in annexing the Urban island south of the City boundary (SR 516) but is prevented from doing so because these lands are not connected to the UGA. The proposed UGA change for this portion of the UGA will respond to the request by the City and will better connect an existing urban island with the UGA. The proposed UGA amendments in this subarea comply with CPPs LU-26 (d) and LU-32.
		comply with CITS EO 25 (u) and EO 52.
#6 Mahler Park/ Enumclaw UGA	28	The State of Washington has transferred ownership of Mahler Park to the City of Enumclaw. The City has requested an amendment to the UGA in order to provide urban services, such as police and maintenance, to the park. This amendment complies with CPP LU-32.
#7 Split Parcels/ Enumclaw UGA	7.9	This amendment resolves two parcels currently split by the UGA line. A middle school campus is being constructed nearby and sewer lines can be made available to the subject properties. The urban portion of the two properties are in the City of Enumclaw's Potential Annexation Arca. This amendment complies with CPPs LU-26 (a) and (d) and LU-38 (d) and (g).

MAP LOCATOR NUMBER/PROPERTY	APPROXIMATE ACRES REDESIGNATED TO URBAN	RATIONALE
#8 Carnation UGA	2.5	This is a technical adjustment to the UGA requested by the City of Carnation to recognize the 1993 annexation of the subject parcel by the City. State law allows cities to annex city owned land that is contiguous to the city's boundary.
#11 Maple Valley	26.5	The County is negotiating with the City of Maple Valley to sell a parcel of County owned land, adjacent to the city for use as a park (19.8 acres). The City has requested amending the UGA boundary in order to provide urban services to the park. The UGA boundary is also proposed to be changed for the privately owned parcel (6.7 acres) north of the park land. This property is split by the current UGA boundary and with the sale of the land to the south to Maple Valley, this property would be entirely surrounded by the City of Maple Valley. It is proposed to be redesignated Urban to elimate creation of a rural island.
		The proposed UGA boundary amendment in this subarea complies with CPP LU-32.

Recommended 2000 UGA Changes

Locator Map


2002 131

King County
Department of Development
Geographic Information Syste

Maple Valley Library / Maple Valley UGA

1 Issaquah Highlands / Issaquah

7 Split Parcels / Enumciaw UGA



Issaquah Highlards / Issaquah UGA

Executive Recommended Land Use

Attachment A to Motion 00-3

1999 UGA Boundary

CO Commercial Outside of Centers

2000 UGA Boundary


Incorporated Areas

ug Urban Residential, general П Rural Residential

Forestry m Mining

ab Greenbelt / Urban Separator

King County This map is intended for planning purposes only and is not guaranteed to show accurate measurements. 500 Feet



Maple valley Library / Maple valley UGA Executive Recommended UGA


Attachment B to Motion 00-3

King County

DDES Department of Development Geographic Information Sys

2002 131

1999 UGA Boundary 2000 UGA Boundary



Maple Valley

Jenkins Creek Pa 's / Covington UGA Executive Recommended Land Use

4

Attachment C to Motion 00-3

King County
DDES
Department of Development and Environmental Services
Ceographic Information System

This map is intended for planning purposes only and is not guaranteed to show accurate measurements.

500 0 500 Feet

March 2000

1999 UGA Boundary


ug 2002 131

2000 UGA Boundary

Rural Residential

Incorporated Areas

OS King County Owned Open Space/Recreation



Mahler Park / Enumclaw UGA Executive Recommended Land Use

Attachment D to Motion 00-3 1999 UGA Boundary ſΧ Rural City UGA П Rural Residential 2000 UGA Boundary King County ag Agriculture OD Incorporated Areas Other Park / Wilderness 500 Feet 2002 131 ag op N rr rx

Split Parcels 'Enumclaw UGA Executive Recommended Land Use

7

Attachment E to Motion 00-3

March 2000

2002 131


King County
Department of Development and Environmental Services
Geographic Information System

This map is informeded for planning purposes only and is not guaranteed to show accurate measurements.

This map is informeded for planning purposes only and is not guaranteed to show accurate measurements.

Incorporated Areas

Agriculture




Carnation UGA Executive Recommended UGA March 2000

8

Attachment F to Motion 00-3

**County Department of Development and Environmental Services Occupated Intermediate Occupate Intermediate Occupate Intermediate Occupate Occupate Intermediate Occupate Occ



Mapl^ Valley

1)

Executive Recommended Land Use

Attachment G to Motion 00-3

March 2000
1999 UGA Boundary
2000 UGA Boundary
Incorporated Areas

2002 131

g Urban Residential, General

Rural Residential Land Use

